# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

JASON NORRIS, individually and on	§	
behalf of all others similarly situated,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	
	§	Civil Action No. 3:17-cv-1956
GREYSTAR MANAGEMENT SERVICES,	§	
L.P.,	§	Jury Demanded
Defendant.	§	
	§	
	§	
	§	
	§	

# PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Jason Norris, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, files this Original Complaint under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") against the above-named Defendant and shows as follows:

#### I. NATURE OF SUIT

1. The Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") was passed by Congress in 1938 to eliminate low wages and long hours and to correct conditions that were detrimental to the health and well-being of workers. To achieve its humanitarian goals, the FLSA establishes standards of minimum wages and "limits to 40 a week the number of hours that an employer may employ any of his employees subject to the Act, unless the employee receives compensation for his employment in excess of 40 hours at a rate not less than one

and one-half times the regular rate at which he is employed." *Brooklyn Sav. Bank v. O'Neil*, 324 U.S. 697, 707 (1945) (discussing the FLSA's minimum wage and maximum hour protections generally); *Walling v. Helmerich & Payne*, 323 U.S. 37, 40 (1944) (discussing the requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 207 (a)).

2. Defendant violated the FLSA by failing to pay its workers for all hours of work at the rates required by the FLSA. Plaintiff routinely worked more than 40 hours per week but was not paid overtime for doing this excessive work. Instead, Defendant failed to pay its employees ("Plaintiff" and "Class Members," as defined below) time-and-one-half of their regular rate of pay for all hours worked over 40 hours per workweek and failed to include non-discretionary bonus pay in calculating their regular and overtime rates of pay. Plaintiff brings this action as a collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

#### II. PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Jason Norris is an individual who was employed by Defendant within the meaning of the FLSA within the three-year period preceding the filing of this Complaint. His consent to be a party in this action is attached as Exhibit A.
- 4. Plaintiff and "Class Members" are Defendant's current and former non-exempt workers who were paid in whole or in part through non-discretionary bonus pay that was not included in calculating their regular and overtime rates of pay, and were not paid overtime pay for overtime work as required by the FLSA.
- 5. Defendant Greystar Management Services, L.P. ("Greystar") is a limited partnership authorized to do business and that does business in the state of Texas. It can be served

with process through its registered agent, CT Corporation System, at 1999 Bryan St., Ste. 900, Dallas, TX 75201-3136, or wherever it may be found.

## III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit because the suit arises under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 7. Venue is proper in the Northern District of Texas because the events forming the basis of the suit occurred in this District and one or more of the parties reside in this district.

## IV. COVERAGE

- 8. At all material times, Defendant has acted, directly or indirectly, in the interest of an employer, joint employer, or successor employer with respect to Plaintiff and Class Members.
- 9. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant has been an employer within the meaning of section 3(d) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 10. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant has been an enterprise within the meaning of section 3(r) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).
- 11. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant has been an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of section 3(s) the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1), in that said enterprise has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for

commerce by any person and in that said enterprise has had and has an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level which are separately stated).

12. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Plaintiff and Class Members were individual employees who were engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as required by 29 U.S.C. §§ 206–207.

## V. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 13. Defendant Greystar Management Services, L.P. is a property management company that does business in Texas and elsewhere. It conducts business throughout the United States and does more than \$500,000.00 per year in business.
- 14. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as a non-exempt, hourly paid, and overtime eligible maintenance/service technician during the last three years and from approximately August 2013 to April 2017.
- 15. Plaintiff was primarily responsible performing technical and mechanical work to ensure the physical aspects of the buildings, grounds, amenities, and common areas of the property met Greystar's standards for cleanliness, appearance, safety, and overall functionality.
- 16. Plaintiff regularly worked 40 or more hours in a workweek at one of Defendant's places of business and during the last three years—the period covered by this lawsuit. However, Plaintiff was not paid lawfully for doing this excess work. Defendant knew that Plaintiff worked more than 40 hours per week and it allowed and directed him to do so

without providing proper payment for these excess hours. Instead, Plaintiff was paid on an hourly basis under a pay scheme that paid him only his regular hourly rate for overtime work instead of paying him time-and-one-half his regular rate of pay that *included* nondiscretionary bonuses in that calculation.

- 17. Plaintiff and Class Members were not lawfully compensated for all hours worked over 40 in a workweek at the rates required by the FLSA because Defendant did not pay them time-and-one-half their regular rates of pay—including nondiscretionary bonuses in calculating their regular rates of pay—for all hours worked over 40 in one workweek.
- 18. Defendant knowingly, willfully, or with reckless disregard carried out its illegal pattern or practice of failing to pay overtime compensation with respect to Plaintiff and Class Members. Defendant received complaints from Plaintiff or Class Members regarding these excessive hours and the failure to compensate for all hours worked but failed to redress these concerns, necessitating this lawsuit.

## VI. COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 19. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 20. Plaintiff and Class Members performed the same or similar job duties as one another and were/are paid under the same pay policy or practice in that they were paid in whole or in part through nondiscretionary bonuses (including commission pay), regularly worked more than 40 hours per week, and were not paid overtime pay for overtime work at the rates required by the FLSA. Application of this policy or practice does not depend on the personal circumstances of Plaintiff or those joining this lawsuit. Rather, the same

policy or practice that resulted in the misclassification and non-payment of overtime pay for overtime hours worked that applied to Plaintiff applies/applied to all Class Members. All Class Members, regardless of their precise job title, requirements, or rates of pay, are entitled to overtime compensation, including nondiscretionary bonuses in calculating rates of pay, for hours worked over 40 hours per week. Although the issue of damages may be individual in character, there is no detraction from the common nucleus of liability facts. The questions of law and fact are common to Plaintiff and Class Members.

21. Defendant was aware of its obligations to pay overtime to Plaintiff and Class Members and failed to do so. Defendant knowingly, willfully, or with reckless disregard carried out its illegal pattern or practice of failing to pay overtime compensation with respect to Plaintiff and Class Members.

# VII. CAUSE OF ACTION: FAILURE TO PAY WAGES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

- 22. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. During the relevant period, Defendant has violated and is violating the provisions of Sections 6 and/or 7 of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206, 207, and Section 215(a)(2), by employing employees in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA as aforesaid, for workweeks longer than 40 hours without compensating such employees for their work in excess of 40 hours per week at rates no less than one-and-one-half times the regular rates for which they were

employed. Defendant has acted willfully in failing to pay Plaintiff and Class Members in accordance with the law.

#### VIII. RELIEF SOUGHT

- 24. WHEREFORE, cause having been shown, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:
- a. For an Order pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA finding Defendant liable for unpaid back wages due to Plaintiff (and those who may join in the suit) and for liquidated damages equal in amount to the unpaid compensation found due to Plaintiff (and those who may join the suit);
- b. For an Order awarding Plaintiff (and those who may join in the suit) the costs of this action;
- c. For an Order awarding Plaintiff (and those who may join in the suit) attorneys' fees;
- d. For an Order awarding Plaintiff (and those who may join in the suit) prejudgment and post-judgment interest at the highest rates allowed by law; and
- e. For an Order granting such other and further relief as may be necessary and appropriate.

## Respectfully submitted,

## s/J. Derek Braziel

J. DEREK BRAZIEL
Texas Bar No. 00793380
jdbraziel@l-b-law.com
J. FORESTER
Texas Bar No. 24087532
forester@l-b-law.com
TRAVIS GASPER
Texas Bar No. 24096881
gasper@l-b-law.com
Lee & Braziel, L.L.P.
1801 N. Lamar Street, Suite 325
Dallas, Texas 75202
(214) 749-1400 phone
(214) 749-1010 fax

#### JILL J. WEINBERG

State Bar No. 2108440
WEINBERG LAW FIRM, PLLC
6425 Willow Creek Drive
Plano, Texas 75093
Telephone: (972) 403-3330
Facsimile: (972) 398-8846

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

Email: jillwlfirm@gmail.com

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 24th day of July, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Court's ECF system. Service of this Complaint will be made on Defendant with summons to be issued by the Clerk per the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

s/J. Derek Braziel
J. Derek Braziel